



**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**  
First Floor 33/11 kV substation, Hyderabad Boats Club Lane  
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**:: Present:: R. DAMODAR**

Monday, the Thirtieth Day of January 2017

CMP No. 3 of 2017

In Appeal No. 50 of 2016

Order dt. 23.01.2017 of Vidyut Ombudsman

Between

M/s Rama Spinners Private Limited, Rep.by its Director Mr. Gopal Agarwal,  
Rama Towers, 2nd Floor, 5-4-83, Mahatma Gandhi Road,  
Secunderabad - 500 003.

**... petitioners**

**AND**

1. The SAO/OP/Medak/TSSPDCL/ at Sanga Reddy.
2. The DE/OP/Toopran/TSSPDCL/Medak Dist.
3. The SE/OP/Medak Circle/TSSPDCL/Medak Dist.

**... Respondents**

**AWARD**

The petitioner is the Appellant in the main Appeal, which was disposed of by an Award dt.23.01.2017 allowing the Appeal partly. The petitioner claimed that there was a mistake in the calculation of the demand charges as per the Clause 6.8 of Part B of HT Supply General Conditions of the Tariff Order 2013-14 wherein excess demand charges ought to have been charged at two times the normal charges and that means the normal charges payable are Rs 100/- per KVA and the amount levied for excess demand is Rs 200/-. The Petitioner claimed that in the Award, the calculation was made adding two times of the normal charges to the normal charges, thus making the amount payable at 3 times the normal charges. It made the unit cost amount @ Rs 2.2%. Further, the petitioner contended, that the Respondents claimed five times the normal charges, while in the calculation, they have shown 1+ 4 times the normal charges. Thus

petitioner claimed that the calculation made in the Award is not correct, and it has to be revised.

2. A perusal of the Award in question clearly shows that the calculation made in the Award is correct and there is no discrepancy as alleged by the petitioner. There is no error apparent on the face of record to make any correction in the calculation of charges. The plea of the petitioner for revision of the Award to correct the amount is not maintainable and no case is made out to carry out any correction in the impugned Award. The petition is rejected.

TYPED BY Clerk Computer Operator, Corrected, Signed and Pronounced by me on this the 30th day of January, 2017.

Sd/-  
**Vidyut Ombudsman**

1. M/s Rama Spinners Private Limited, Rep.by its Director Mr. Gopal Agarwal, Rama Towers, 2nd Floor, 5-4-83, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Secunderabad - 500 003.
2. The SAO/OP/Medak/TSSPDCL/ at Sanga Reddy.
3. The DE/OP/Toopran/TSSPDCL/Medak Dist.
4. The SE/OP/Medak Circle/TSSPDCL/Medak Dist.

Copy to :

5. The Chairperson, Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum - 1, TSSPDCL, Vengal Rao Nagar, Erragadda, Hyderabad - 500 045.
6. The Secretary, TSERC, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Lakdikapool,Hyd.